

European Crime Prevention Award (ECPA)

Annex II – new version 2016

Evaluation form

General criteria:

In the 'Rules and procedures for awarding and presenting the ECPA', the general criteria are described as follows (**Par.2 §3**):

- I. The project shall focus on prevention and / or reduction of everyday crime and fear of crime within the theme selected by the organising Member State and agreed by the National Representatives of the EUCPN.
- II. The project shall have been evaluated and have achieved most or all of its objectives.
- III. The project shall, as far as possible, be innovative, involving new methods or new approaches.
- IV. The project shall be based on cooperation between partners, where possible.
- V. The project shall be capable of replication in other Member States. Therefore information should be provided on the financial costs of the project. the source of funding, the implementation process and relevant source material.

Specific criteria:

Each general criterion will be measured by means of questions. Each question will be rated by a score between 1 and 5. As there are 15 questions to be answered, scores will range between 15 and 75 points. These quantitative scores provide a more objective point of comparison between projects.

Score	
0	Not applicable
1	Weak
2	Average
3	Good
4	Very good
5	Excellent

3. How would you judge the degree to which the project is contributing to raising citizens' awareness of crime prevention?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1 = weak / 5 = Excellent): 0 1 2 3 4 5

II. The project shall have been evaluated and have achieved most or all of its objectives.

4. Overall, how would you judge the scientific quality of the evaluation of the project?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1 = weak / 5 = Excellent): 0 1 2 3 4 5

5. How would you judge the degree to which the project's activities were implemented as originally intended (process)?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1= weak / 5 = Excellent): (0 1 2 3 4 5)x 2

6. Given the available evaluation(s), how would you judge the effectiveness of the project (impact)?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1= weak / 5 = Excellent): (0 1 2 3 4 5)x 2

III. The project shall, as far as possible, be innovative, involving new methods or new approaches.

7. How would you judge the overall innovativeness of the project in its methods and/or approaches?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1= weak / 5 = Excellent): (0 1 2 3 4 5)x 3

IV. The project shall be based on cooperation between partners, where possible.

8. How would you judge the degree of involvement of relevant partners or stakeholders in the project?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1= weak / 5 = Excellent): 0 1 2 3 4 5

V. The project shall be replicable in other Member States.

9. How would you judge the replicability of the project (particularly within other Member States)? Is there enough information provided on the funding, the costs, the implementation process and the context of the project?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1= weak / 5 = Excellent): (0 1 2 3 4 5)x 2

10. How would you judge the project's potential for serving as an exemplary model of good practices within the EU?

Comments:

Score (0 = NA/1= weak / 5 = Excellent): 0 1 2 3 4 5

Overall evaluation of the project:

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Proposal(s) for improvement:

